

December 10, 2021

TRANSMITTED VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 Mitchell N. Roth Tysons Corner Office (703) 485-3536 (direct) mroth@rothjackson.com

RE: Comments of SipNav LLC Regarding Commission's Fifth Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CG Docket No. 17-59 and Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in WC Docket. 17-97.

Dear Ms.Dortch:

This law firm represents SipNav LLC ("SipNav"). On behalf of SipNav, we submit these Comments regarding the Commission's Fifth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CG Docket No. 17-59 and Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in WC Docket No. 17-97. This FNPRM imposes restrictions on gateway providers to combat illegal robocalls.

I. Introduction.

SipNav operates a hosted-switch platform. It is an all-in-one carrier solution for SIP network elements which operates on a private hosted infrastructure. SipNav's scalable solution allows it to offer its services to carrier customers that range from those that process only a few thousand concurrent calls to those process more than one hundred thousand concurrent calls. SipNav provides its carrier customers with a wealth of tools to combat the transmission of illegal robocalls.

The Commission's proposed rulemaking imposes significant obligations on gateway providers who have no involvement in the initiation of illegal robocalls. It imposes standards with associated expensive compliance obligations on the providers while ignoring the scammers that are initiating the calls at issue. This results in a never-ending game of whack-a-mole as scammers continuously utilize

RICHMOND

TYSONS CORNER

1519 Summit Avenue, Suite 102, Richmond, VA 23230 P: 804-441-8440 F: 804-441-8438 8200 Greensboro Drive, Suite 820, McLean, VA 22102 P: 703-485-3535 F: 703-485-3525 Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

December 10, 2021

Page 2

new carriers to enter the US telecommunications network once an existing route is closed by a gateway

provider.

SipNav respectfully suggests that the Commission consider an alternate mechanism for curtailing

scam robocalls – one that leverages the media IP address of the servers that actually initiate the known

scam calls.

The media IP address of the call-initiating server is appended to all calls. When scam robocalls

are identified, the call's media IP address can be extracted and circulated to switch providers. Switch

providers can, in turn, block all subsequent calls with the identified media IP address from entering and

passing through their switches. This can be done at the gateway provider's switch, thereby preventing

the calls from entering the US telecommunications network.

Leveraging the media IP address appended to known scam calls has the added benefit of

allowing law enforcement to identify the physical location of the data center that houses the server that

initiated the calls. Once the data center is located, the owner of the server can be identified.

II. Discussion.

Robocalls are initiated by servers that are attached to a telecommunications network. The

servers are assigned a unique media IP address by the data centers in which they reside. No two servers

in the world have the same media IP address. The data centers assign the media IP address when the

equipment is connected to the center's Internet trunks. The name and exact location of the assigning

data center can be identified from the media IP address using publicly available resources. Media IP

addresses cannot easily be changed by the operator of a particular piece of equipment.

All calls initiated by a particular server – both voice calls and robocalls – have the server's

unique media IP address appended to it from the point of origination through the point of termination.

RICHMOND

TYSONS CORNER

8200 Greensboro Drive, Suite 820, McLean, VA 22102

P: 804-441-8440 F: 804-441-8438

1519 Summit Avenue, Suite 102, Richmond, VA 23230

P: 703-485-3535 F: 703-485-3525

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission

December 10, 2021

Page 3

When a particular call is identified as one that has transmitted a scam robocall, switch companies, such

as SipNav, are able to identify the media IP address of the server that initiated the scam robocall and

block all future calls that carry the same media IP address. Doing so blocks all future calls by the

server that initiated the scam robocall.

Importantly, the switch provider's ability to block these calls is independent of the gateway

provider. This targeted and nuanced approach blocks only the calls initiated by the offending server

while allowing all "clean" calls to pass through the switch.

Additionally, with the media IP address of the server that initiated an illegal robocall, law

enforcement organizations can identify the data center that housed the server that initiated the illegal call

who, in turn, can identify the owner/operator of the server in question (i.e., the call initiator).

SipNav maintains a blacklist of media IP addresses that have been linked to scam robocalls.

SipNav provides its customers with the ability to run calls that seek to pass through its switch against

this blacklist and block those calls that were originated from servers with the media IP address of those

known scammers. By doing so, servers that initiated these calls will never be able to transmit calls

through SipNav's hosted switch. Once other switch providers adopt similar practices, calls initiated by

servers that transmit illegal robocalls will not be able to access the US telecommunications network.

To date, the Commission's detection and enforcement of robocall scammers has focused largely,

if not exclusively, on the caller ID signal transmitted with illegal calls. Caller ID signals can easily be

manipulated by scammers. SipNav invites the Commission to leverage the data transmitted on SIP calls

¹ Media IP addresses are invaluable to law enforcement agencies as well: These agencies can

use both publicly and privately available resources to pinpoint the hosts of the servers that were used to transmit the illegal calls. Presumably, these hosts can then learn the identities of the scammers who

initiated the calls.

RICHMOND

TYSONS CORNER

8200 Greensboro Drive, Suite 820, McLean, VA 22102

P: 703-485-3535 F: 703-485-3525

1519 Summit Avenue, Suite 102, Richmond, VA 23230

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission

December 10, 2021

Page 4

- especially the initiating servers' media IP address -- to affirmatively block calls initiated by servers

that have transmitted illegal robocalls and identify the location and owners of such servers for

prosecution. This technique attacks the hardware used by, and exposes the identity of, those that seek to

originate illegal robocalls into the United States. This contrasts with traditional enforcement

mechanisms that: i) focuses on the transmitted caller ID signal which can be changed with every

initiated call; and ii) places large burdens on the gateway providers that are not responsible for, and play

no role in, the origination of the illegal robocalls.

III. Conclusion.

By leveraging the media IP address of known scam robocalls, carriers and their switch providers

are able to permanently end the ability of the call-initiating servers to send calls into the United States.

This nuanced approach focuses solely on the known bad actors and is more effective than relying on

statistical analyses in identifying *probable* illegal robocalls.

Please contact me with any questions the Commission may have.

Very truly yours

Mitchell N. Roth

MNR:mmi

RICHMOND

TYSONS CORNER